

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/662,658 09/15/2003		Thomas R. Mee III	51034/SDB/M60	1868		
23363	7590 10/04/2004		EXAMINER			
•	PARKER & HALE, I	KIM, CHRISTOPHER S				
PO BOX 706 PASADENA	8 , CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3752			

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	i			
Office Action Summary		10/662,65	10/662,658 MEE, THOMAS R.		R.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christoph	er S. Kim	3752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	e correspondence a	address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of t	N. 1.136(a). In no ever eply within the state od will apply and wi ute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) o ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr lication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered tim om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> .							
2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 September 2003</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)□ a ne drawing(s) b ection is requir	oe held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this Nationa	al Stage			
Attachmen				(DTO 110)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/04</u> .)8)	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,658

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged. However, the provisional application upon which priority is claimed was abandoned before the filing of this application.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "thin" recited in claims 11 and 16; and the "locally thinned" recited in claims 4, 12 and 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of

the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites ranges which exceed the ranges recited in claim 1.

The term "thin" in claims 11 and 16 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "thin" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is uncertain what range of thickness is being claimed.

The term "locally thinned" in claims 4, 12 and 17 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "thin" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is uncertain what range of thickness is being claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,658

Art Unit: 3752

Claim 5 recites "a larger hole" and "a smaller hole". They appear to be double inclusions of the "plurality of holes recited in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 15-18, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matten et al. (6,193,165).

Matten discloses a multiple spray nozzle apparatus comprising: a plurality of humidifying tubes 1; and air flow duct 12.

7. Claims 15-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Umbricht et al. (3,059,861).

Umbricht discloses a multiple spray nozzle apparatus comprising: a plurality of humidifying tubes 46; a patch having a smaller hole 154; an impact surface 158; an air duct 38.

8. Claims 20, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green (3,946,762).

Green discloses a multiple spray nozzle apparatus comprising: a plurality of humidifying tubes 15; a patch having a smaller hole 24.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,658

Art Unit: 3752

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-4, 7, 10-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matten et al. (6,193,165).

Matten discloses a multiple spray nozzle apparatus comprising: a plurality of humidifying tubes 1; and air flow duct 12. Matten discloses preferable ranges of hole lengths and diameters outside of applicant's claimed ranges. Note that Matten does not prohibit values outside of the preferred ranges. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided hole diameters in the range of 10 to 100 micrometers and hole lengths in the range of 20 to 200 micrometers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

11. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, 14, 22, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Umbricht et al. (3,059,861).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 14, Umbricht discloses a multiple spray nozzle apparatus comprising: a plurality of humidifying tubes 46; a patch having a smaller hole 154; an impact surface 158. Umbricht does not disclose the range of hole diameter and length. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/662,658 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided hole diameters in the range of 10 to 100 micrometers and hole lengths in the range of 20 to 200 micrometers, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claims 22, 23 and 25, Umbricht discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the patch being thinner than the tube wall. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a patch thickness thinner than the wall thickness, since It has been held that changing size involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

12. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matten et al. (6,193,165) in view of Eckert (3,419,251)

Matten discloses discloses the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the laterally extending tubes on opposite sides of a water supply pipe. Eckert teaches laterally extending tubes 8 from water supply pipe 1. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided laterally extending tubes from the water supply pipe of Matten as taught by Eckert for use in a circular cross section column.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK